

**WETHERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL**  
**REPRESENTING WETHERSFIELD, BLACKMORE END & BEAZLEY END**  
4 Beazley End, Braintree, Essex, CM7 5JH  
Clerk@Wethersfield-pc.gov.uk – 01371 829094



FAO Neil Jones  
Development Management  
Causeway House  
Braintree  
Essex  
CM7 9HB

21 December 2021

Dear Sir/Madam

**Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended),  
Town and Country Planning (Environmental Assessment) Regulations 2017  
Scoping Opinion Request ref 21/03405/SCO and 21/02425/SCR  
Land at Wethersfield Airbase, Toppesfield Road, Wethersfield, Essex**

I refer to the above screening and scoping requests on behalf of the Ministry of Justice in connection with two proposed prisons on land at Wethersfield Airbase. I have been asked to submit these representations on behalf of the parish councils in this area which I hope you will find helpful in your deliberations on this matter.

I should explain that following recent consultation with local residents by the Ministry of Justice (MoJ) this Parish Council formed a Wethersfield Airbase Scrutiny Committee (WASC) to focus on responses to proposals which come forward involving the base. This Committee comprises representatives of ten Parish Councils in this area.

The detailed screening and scoping requests appear to have been prepared before the expiry of the consultation period set by the MoJ and therefore could not have taken into account the very strong feelings of parishioners across several parishes. This is contrary to national guidance for developers to work closely with local communities before submitting proposals. Surprisingly, the Parish Councils in this area were not consulted by the MoJ on their consultation exercise and though we understand you have no statutory obligation to do so, we have now found at the WASC meeting this week that your Council has not consulted any of the Parish Councils other than Finchingfield in connection with the screening and scoping requests.

Nevertheless, the Committee resolved at its last meeting to submit representations and to seek Counsel's advice on the matter generally. We have become aware of the time constraints to your response to requests under these regulations but also that under section 15 you are able to seek an extension of time beyond 35 days but where you fail to give an

opinion the applicant may refer the matter to the Secretary of State for a direction should they so wish. However, I note this matter is still pending so assume you will be taking longer than 35 days to respond and given the limited consultation that has occurred the WASC would urge you to take sufficient time to enable proper consideration to be given to local views.

In the absence of proper consultation with parishes there has not been sufficient time to obtain the advice we would wish on this complex matter but in the expectation that you will be delaying your opinion, with or without MoJ agreement, the WASC nevertheless wishes to make the following initial comments:-

### **Need for a holistic assessment**

The screening letter states that ‘The proposed prison site is in the north west corner of the airfield and would ensure that the remaining land could come forward for development at a later date’. Moreover, page 2 of the scoping request explains that the MoJ site comprises the extent of the proposed development and ‘additional land that will form part of the MoJ’s ownership.’. The EIA should reveal and take into account the anticipated use of this additional area and disclose the cumulative environmental effects. Furthermore, the MoJ exclude any interest in the remaining Ministry of Defence land which is around 70% of the total area of the base including the built up area which no doubt will come forward for yet further development proposals in due course. Those intentions too should be taken into account as part of the EIA.

### **Policy considerations**

The scoping request letter accepts that the proposals breach Local Plan policy. Several policies are listed but relevant existing and emerging policies are omitted- for example CS5, SP1, SP3, SP4, SP7, LLP1, LLP50, LLP71. It seems to be acknowledged that the Proposals would be contrary to Local Plan policies including those relating to ‘Countryside’, design, landscape and the fact that the site is not an allocated development site. Any planning application submitted on the site which is not for a ‘countryside use’ would be considered as in conflict with the adopted and emerging Local Plan and assessed in that context. The proposals could not be seen as appropriate countryside uses.

Moreover, the proposals are in complete conflict with policies in the National Planning Policy Framework including those relating to the need to site development in locations which avoid the need to travel and promote design which should have regard to context and surroundings of a proposed development.. The EIA should evaluate how the proposals fare against environmental policies at all levels.

### **Lighting**

The Scoping letter states on page 10 that a lighting design strategy will form part of the outline application for the site and as such lighting is scoped out of the EIA process. It is self-evident that lighting of these two prisons of such a vast scale on an open site around one mile in length and 2-3 miles in perimeter in a sensitive landscape setting on a plateau above surrounding countryside and with obvious security issues will be likely to have a major impact over a very wide area and have likely serious adverse effects on the rich wildlife around the site. The WASC consider that lighting be scoped in

## **Ecology**

The site as a whole should be considered as one of national significance, due the number of species recorded (and logged with Braintree District Council). These include 74 species of bird (several red list); 271 species of plant (several rare and unusual) and 401 species of invertebrates (including 4 UKBAP, 3 RDB, 4 Notable/NA, 11 Notable/NB, 7 Notable/N). The runways themselves are the habitat for unusual grasses, and the meadowlands around the runways contain orchids are home to many species of birds requiring open grassland - which cannot be mitigated for. It is imperative that a precautionary principle is applied and we believe that the Avoid action is the only outcome suitable in this unique situation.

## **Architectural design**

There is little mention of architectural design and this should be scoped in The proposals are based on a template of prison design being applied across the country and there is little indication that the design of the scheme is either of exceptional design or pays any regard at all to the wider rural vernacular character of this tranquil part of north west Essex and South Suffolk which comprises beautiful open countryside of historic villages, scattered farmsteads , quiet lanes, distinctive landscape. The EIA should set out the environmental effects on the area arising from the scale, massing, context , intensity and contemporary standard design of the proposed prisons.

## **Landscape Character**

A landscape character assessment should take into account a much wider area than the area immediately surrounding the site and extend beyond consideration of particular viewpoints. It should look at character areas in a wide area around the site taking in the Pant Valley and the countryside areas to Saffron Walden, Castle Hedingham, Braintree and Haverhill . This should be a strategic character assessment of this area of north west Essex into South Suffolk if there is to be a true understanding of the relationship between the proposals and their wider contextual surroundings.

Previous work carried out for mid Essex authorities in 2006 should be examined where it was concluded that only limited development could be permissible in the Stambourne Farmland Plateau and Pant River valley. The Scoping letter appears to limit its focus to the immediate surroundings. This is at odds with basic principles of environmental geography and climate science that highlight the need to recognise the wider environment, as a complex integrated ecological system. Damage to one element has a fundamental effect on the wider landscape. Green house gases do not simply stop at the edge of the runway.

## **Alternative Options**

The scoping request states that “Chapter 3 will briefly consider the need for the proposed development ‘ and a description of alternative options considered. This should describe how each option relates to the MoJ’s own policies and research on prison location and take cognizance of the recent White Paper ‘Prison Strategy’ dated December 2021 .

It is clear that the current proposals are contrary to MoJ policies for locating prisons close to families, health services and employment where the key indicators of 'ensuring success is measured against our priorities: security and stability; substance misuse and mental health; and resettlement and family ties.' It is difficult to see how this inaccessible location can be compatible with these goals. Moreover, providing prisoner release on license with local employment is unlikely to be successful in this area where employment levels are low and jobs hard to come by. The alternatives should examine not simply other sites for a prison based on acknowledged objectives but also alternative uses for the site which are compatible with local and national planning policy.

---

### **Traffic impact**

Traffic impacts should include a consideration as to how increased traffic will impact on the character of surrounding villages and countryside as well as carbon emissions. The WASC feels that it is often not appreciated how remote this area is from the national road network. If one takes the area within the nearest major roads in each direction, it is hard to find a similar extent of land anywhere else in the country. This degree of accessibility should be a central criterion in selecting suitable sites for prisons of this scale. The remedy would be to propose a major road from the site to the nearest national road but this would be several miles and even more destructive in highly valued and attractive countryside. If the intention is to consider mitigation works on the existing road network full account should be taken of both capacity as well as the impact on the rural character of the affected routes.

---

There is no systematic evidence that prisons benefit rural areas and thus reliance on minimising the need to travel by relying on local staff is misguided. The surrounding villages benefit from high employment and 25% of the population are at or near retirement age. Key Performance Indicators from Glen Parva suggested a travel distance covering a radius of 25-40 miles that employees needed to travel. If applied to this area equates to up to 5,000 sq miles covering Stevenage, Ipswich and North London. Location of a prison in a rural area is also at odds with Lord Farmer's (2017) recommendations that family access is an essential part of prisoners' rehabilitation. The location of a prison in such a poorly connected area would generate a vast level of carbon emissions, danger to primary schools and damage to listed buildings from commuters, the vast supply chain, visitors and support services.

### **Heritage**

Heritage impact should include evaluation of structures on the airfield for protection following recent Heritage England reports 'Nine Thousand Miles of Concrete' (with Airfield Research Group) and 'Military Structures-Listing Selection Guide'. These reports place Wethersfield amongst the most significant military airfields in the country in terms of heritage rating and should be seriously considered for listing and conservation area status. These include the hangars, chapel, weapon storage area, mess quarters, defensive structures and

other areas of the so called technical area and sections of runway. The MoJ should take on board the comments of Place Services which has responded to this scoping request that there is the potential for designated and non designated assets to be harmed by the proposals. The setting of these assets will be an important part of their significance.

Moreover, Place Services remark that 'if not already undertaken early- stage consultation prior to the EIA with local communities, local heritage groups, historical societies, parish councils and other stakeholders would be appropriate including Britain Conservation Trust, the Wethersfield Airfield Museum, and the Wethersfield Local History Group, along with Historic England'. The WASC urges your Council and Ministry of Justice to begin meaningful consultation with the community on this and many other matters involving the future of the base.

A further heritage important issue that should be scoped into the EIA is the effect that the proposals would have on the setting of Wethersfield Conservation Area. The proposed buildings will appear on the skyline from many viewpoints and these include across the highly attractive Pant Valley to form a backcloth to Wethersfield Conservation Area

There are listed buildings not referred to in the scoping request –Toppesfield Mill and many buildings just outside the 1 km limit which nevertheless would be affected in terms of construction and operational traffic indefinitely. Many of the listed buildings nearby such as Sculpins Farm and Boyton Hall are recorded in the Domesday book and date back to Saxon times. Their proximity to the prisons will change forever the setting of these historic buildings. The EIA should look carefully at the history of each of these buildings rather than make generic assumptions as to their worth based on their listing grade.

### **Archaeology**

WASC endorse comments by Place Services that archaeology should be scoped in so that proper investigation can be carried out to determine likely evidence of prehistoric to Roman period remains before proposals are submitted.

### **Employment**

Chapter 12 should assess the employment benefits to the immediate local economy, including information on the anticipated place of residence of employees and the need for new housing in the area. Evidence gathered from prisons elsewhere indicates that very few jobs within the latest prisons are filled by people within the parish where it is located. The ongoing HMP Grendon full planning application was submitted in July 2021 for a 1,468 inmate prison following MOJ consultation in Dec, 2020. There are strong similarities in some respects.. Parish prison employees at the existing prison were given as just seven. On the other hand, this area is popular with tourists, including cyclists and walkers and these proposals will do nothing to promote the appeal that this area has in the tourist sector of the local economy.

### **Water Supply**

The WASC are concerned that the proposals on water supply in this area which is one of the driest places in the UK and where there are difficulties with water pressure. At the same time flooding in the surrounding area is a regular challenge

including recent flooding at Toppesfield Bridge (despite recent alleviation works) and at the entrance to the site. We hope the EIA will give full consideration to these matters. It should be noted that part of the proposed site is within the River Pant Water Catchment Area.

The WASC considers that this site should never have been selected as a possibility for prisons of this scale. The location has poor accessibility, will have a dramatic impact on the landscape, ecology and character of the surrounding countryside, cause untold loss of amenity caused by increased traffic over a very wide area which is characterized by country lanes, open varied countryside, historic villages, scattered farmsteads. The proposals are wholly contrary to local and national planning policy and at odds with current and emerging prisons strategy-the site should have been discounted at an earlier stage. Had this been a scheme by a housing developer it is inconceivable that this scheme would have been entertained.

The only positive reason for locating the prisons on this site seems to be the net nil cost of land to government but even this would be offset by the long term costs arising from the huge number of construction vehicles having to travel over long distances from major roads or rail, the travel distance and hazards involved for massive supply chains to sustain the number of prisoners, visitors and staff, and proximity and journey routes to and from courts, visitors, access to specialist health services etc

Would you please take the points raised in this letter into account before the Council responds to the MoJ and keep Parish Councils advised of progress. Many thanks.

Yours faithfully

Michelle Baker  
Clerk, Wethersfield Parish Council